

December 29, 2015

Chief Kathleen M. O'Toole Seattle Police Department PO Box 34986 Seattle, WA 98124-4986

RE: MANAGEMENT ACTION RECOMMENDATION (20150PA-1035)

Dear Chief O'Toole:

In the course of reviewing the results of a recent OPA investigation (2015OPA-1035), it became clear that some confusion exists within the SPD command structure regarding the intent of SPD's In Car Video Policy with respect to supervisors. Specifically, we have heard that some employees are not certain when supervisors operating a vehicle equipped with ICV are obligated under SPD Policy 16.090 to record. Subsection 6 of this policy uses both the terms "employees" and "officers." OPA does not believe that the Department intended to exempt sergeants and above from the obligation of recording "all police activity." We understand that some lieutenants and sergeants have been told that "supervisory activities" are not subject to mandatory audio and video recording. In addition, OPA has heard that some have broadened this exclusion even farther by interpreting the use of the term "police activity" to exclude administrative activities of any employee, even if associated with a 911 call or any of the other events listed in 16.090(6). The exclusion of both supervisory and administrative activities from the clear requirements established in 16.090 runs contrary to OPA's understanding of the purpose and intent of the framers of the policy and those who contributed to its development, including the Monitor, the Community Police Commission, the OPA Auditor and OPA.

<u>Recommendation</u>: Universal compliance with the Department's expectation that all police activities will be recorded regardless of the rank or role of the employee equipped with the capability to audio and video record is essential to the public's faith in the Department's accountability systems. I strongly recommend that an immediate clarification be sent to the entire Department in the form of a Directive and that the wording of SPD Policy 16.090 be reviewed and modified where necessary.

Thank you very much for your prompt attention to this matter of public trust and confidence in the professional conduct of the SPD and its employees. Please inform me of your response to this recommendation and, should you decide to take action as a result, the progress of this action.

Sincerely,

Weyl

Pierce Murphy Director, Office of Professional Accountability